

Fine Particulate Matter, Its Constituents, and Spontaneous Preterm Birth

Anqi Jiao, Alexa Reilly, Tarik Benmarhnia, Yi Sun, Chantal Avila, Vicki Chiu, Jeff Slezak, David Sacks, John Molitor, Mengyi Li, et al.

To cite this version:

Anqi Jiao, Alexa Reilly, Tarik Benmarhnia, Yi Sun, Chantal Avila, et al.. Fine Particulate Matter, Its Constituents, and Spontaneous Preterm Birth. JAMA Network Open, 2024, 7 (11), pp.e2444593. 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593. hal-04809951

HAL Id: hal-04809951 <https://ehesp.hal.science/hal-04809951v1>

Submitted on 28 Nov 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

JAMA Open

Original Investigation | Environmental Health Fine Particulate Matter, Its Constituents, and Spontaneous Preterm Birth

Anqi Jiao, BS; Alexa N. Reilly, BS; Tarik Benmarhnia, PhD; Yi Sun, PhD; Chantal Avila, MA; Vicki Chiu, MS; Jeff Slezak, MS; David A. Sacks, MD; John Molitor, PhD; Mengyi Li, MPH; Jiu-Chiuan Chen, MD; Jun Wu, PhD; Darios Getahun, MD

Abstract

IMPORTANCE The associations of exposure to fine particulate matter (PM₂₅) and its constituents with spontaneous preterm birth (sPTB) remain understudied. Identifying subpopulations at increased risk characterized by socioeconomic status and other environmental factors is critical for targeted interventions.

OBJECTIVE To examine associations of PM_{2.5} and its constituents with sPTB.

DESIGN, SETTING, AND PARTICIPANTS This population-based retrospective cohort study was conducted from 2008 to 2018 within a large integrated health care system, Kaiser Permanente Southern California. Singleton live births with recorded residential information of pregnant individuals during pregnancy were included. Data were analyzed from December 2023 to March 2024.

EXPOSURES Daily total PM_{2.5} concentrations and monthly data on 5 PM_{2.5} constituents (sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, organic matter, and black carbon) in California were assessed, and mean exposures to these pollutants during pregnancy and by trimester were calculated. Exposures to total green space, trees, low-lying vegetation, and grass were estimated using street view images. Wildfire-related exposure was measured by the mean concentration of wildfire-specific PM_{2.5} during pregnancy. Additionally, the mean exposure to daily maximum temperature during pregnancy was calculated.

MAIN OUTCOMES AND MEASURES The primary outcome was sPTB identified through a natural language processing algorithm. Discrete-time survival models were used to estimate associations of total PM_{2.5} concentration and its 5 constituents with sPTB. Interaction terms were used to examine the effect modification by race and ethnicity, educational attainment, household income, and exposures to green space, wildfire smoke, and temperature.

RESULTS Among 409 037 births (mean [SD] age of mothers at delivery, 30.3 [5.8] years), there were positive associations of PM_{2.5}, black carbon, nitrate, and sulfate with sPTB. Adjusted odds ratios (aORs) per IQR increase were 1.15 (95% CI, 1.12-1.18; $P < .001$) for PM $_{2.5}$ (IQR, 2.76 μ g/m³), 1.15 (95% CI, 1.11-1.20; P < .001) for black carbon (IQR, 1.05 μg/m³), 1.09 (95% CI, 1.06-1.13; P < .001) for nitrate (IQR, 0.93 μ g/m³), and 1.06 (95% CI, 1.03-1.09; P < .001) for sulfate (IQR, 0.40 μ g/m³) over the entire pregnancy. The second trimester was the most susceptible window; for example, aORs for total PM_{2.5} concentration were 1.07 (95% CI, 1.05-1.09; P < .001) in the first, 1.10 (95% CI, 1.08-1.12; P < .001) in the second, and 1.09 (95% CI, 1.07-1.11; P < .001) in the third trimester. Significantly higher aORs were observed among individuals with lower educational attainment (eg, less than college: aOR, 1.16; 95% CI, 1.12-1.21 vs college [≥4 years]: aOR, 1.10; 95% CI, 1.06-1.14; P = .03) or income (<50th percentile: aOR, 1.17; 95% CI, 1.14-1.21 vs ≥50th percentile: aOR, 1.12; 95% CI, 1.09-1.16; P = .02) or who were exposed to limited green space (<50th percentile: aOR, 1.19; 95% CI,

Open Access. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the CC-BY License.

JAMA Network Open. 2024;7(11):e2444593. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593 (Reprinted) November 13, 2024 1/16

Key Points

Question Is exposure to fine particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) and its constituents associated with spontaneous preterm birth (sPTB), and do socioeconomic status and other environmental exposures modify the association?

Findings This cohort study of 409 037 births in Southern California found positive associations of $PM_{2.5}$ and its constituents with sPTB. Individuals with lower socioeconomic status or who were exposed to limited green space, more wildfire smoke, or extreme heat had increased risk of sPTB associated with $PM_{2.5}$.

Meaning This study found that increased ambient $PM_{2.5}$ exposure was associated with higher odds of sPTB, with socioeconomic and other environmental factors potentially modifying this association.

+ [Supplemental content](https://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593&utm_campaign=articlePDF%26utm_medium=articlePDFlink%26utm_source=articlePDF%26utm_content=jamanetworkopen.2024.44593)

Author affiliations and article information are listed at the end of this article.

(continued)

Abstract (continued)

1.15-1.23 vs ≥50th percentile: aOR, 1.12; 95% CI, 1.09-1.15; *P* = .003), more wildfire smoke (≥50th percentile: aOR, 1.19; 95% CI, 1.16-1.23 vs <50th percentile: aOR, 1.13; 95% CI, 1.09-1.16; P = .009), or extreme heat (aOR, 1.51; 95% CI, 1.42-1.59 vs mild temperature: aOR, 1.11; 95% CI, 1.09-1.14; P < .001).

CONCLUSIONS AND RELEVANCE In this study, exposures to PM_{2.5} and specific PM_{2.5} constituents during pregnancy were associated with increased odds of sPTB. Socioeconomic status and other environmental exposures modified this association.

JAMA Network Open. 2024;7(11):e2444593. doi[:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593](https://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593&utm_campaign=articlePDF%26utm_medium=articlePDFlink%26utm_source=articlePDF%26utm_content=jamanetworkopen.2024.44593)

Introduction

Preterm birth (PTB) is an important obstetrical event complicating approximately 11% of births worldwide and a leading cause of mortality in children younger than 5 years.¹⁻³ It can be commonly categorized into spontaneous PTB (sPTB; approximately 60%-70% of all PTBs) and iatrogenic PTB (iPTB; approximately 30%-40% of all PTBs) based on different underlying mechanisms.4,5 Medical interventions, including labor induction or prelabor cesarean delivery, can result in iPTB, typically due to conditions that threaten maternal or fetal well-being, such as preeclampsia or eclampsia, placental abruption, or intrauterine growth restriction.^{6,7} Preterm births that follow spontaneous labor or preterm premature rupture of membranes are called sPTB, which is considered a complex syndrome resulting from 4 major pathogenic pathways: infection or inflammation, decidual hemorrhage, uterine overdistension, and premature activation of the maternal or fetal hypothalamic-pituitaryadrenal axis due to stress.^{4,8,9} The prediction and prevention of sPTB remain challenging because causes leading to the disruption of uterine quiescence and cervical changes (with or without rupture of membranes) are still not fully understood.^{10,11} As the major source of prematurity in contemporary obstetrics, sPTB has a significant impact on neonatal morbidity and mortality rates. Previous research has highlighted the importance of identifying and using risk factors associated with sPTB to inform early interventions and has associated various sociodemographic, nutritional, environmental, and genetic factors with the risk of sPTB.^{10,12-14}

Exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ and its components (eg, black carbon, nitrate, and sulfate) has been associated with several pathophysiological pathways, such as oxidative stress, inflammation, and activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis,¹⁵⁻¹⁹ which may directly lead to sPTB. However, studies on PM_{2.5} exposure and sPTB remain limited²⁰⁻²⁵ given that differentiating PTB subtypes in previous investigations has been challenging owing to the lack of medical records for information on preterm labor, rupture of membranes, or cervical incompetence.^{25,26} In addition, many studies may lack sufficient cases for a precise analysis of sPTB, resulting in reduced statistical power when focusing exclusively on this outcome.²⁷ Given that ambient PM_{2.5} has been identified as a leading environmental risk factor for various health outcomes, it is crucial to understand its association with the risk of sPTB based on research with a relatively large sample size, which can offer populationbased insights into the prevention of sPTB. Furthermore, the chemical composition of $PM_{2.5}$ can vary by region due to different pollution sources, and this variation contributes to different toxic effects associated with PM_{2.5} and discrepancies across studies. Examining the association of specific PM_{2.5} constituents with sPTB may help enhance the understanding of PM_{25} toxic effects and provide a reference for local emission control.28,29

Existing literature has reported persistent socioeconomic, racial, and ethnic disparities in the rate of PTB in the US, where significantly higher rates were found among Black individuals and those with poverty or limited education.^{1,5,30} Individuals with a lower socioeconomic level may experience greater social stressors and underlying health problems, thereby increasing their level of health risks associated with air pollution.³¹ Investigating how these factors may modify the risk of sPTB associated with PM_{2.5} exposure may help identify populations at increased risk and promote health

 \bigcap JAMA Network Open. 2024;7(11):e2444593. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593 (Reprinted) November 13, 2024 2/16

equity. Moreover, it has been suggested that green space exposure is associated with reduced health risks associated with air pollution^{32,33}; however, its role in modifying the association with pregnancy outcomes has been less studied.^{34,35} Most studies used the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) to measure total green space exposure without distinguishing vegetation types.^{26,32,36} Given that trees and other vegetation (eg, bushes and grass) have been associated with health benefits through different pathways,³⁷⁻³⁹ identifying the effect modification by vegetation type may help establish a more effective strategy for mitigating health risks associated with PM_{2.5}. In addition, climate change is exacerbating health-threatening conditions, such as extreme heat and wildfires, which may particularly affect pregnant individuals owing to the physiological and psychological changes during pregnancy.40,41 Examining the effect modification of these climate-sensitive exposures on the association between PM_{2.5} levels and sPTB may raise awareness and encourage the adoption of self-protection measures in a changing climate.

We conducted a retrospective cohort study in Southern California to examine associations of exposures to total PM_{2.5} and PM_{2.5} constituents during pregnancy with sPTB. Furthermore, we examined the effect modification by race and ethnicity, socioeconomic status, and other environmental exposures (ie, green space, wildfire smoke, and temperature).

Methods

Study Population

We identified 429 839 pregnancies with delivery of singleton live births from January 1, 2008, to December 31, 2018, at Kaiser Permanente Southern California health care system (KPSC) (eFigure 1 and eMethods in [Supplement 1\)](https://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593&utm_campaign=articlePDF%26utm_medium=articlePDFlink%26utm_source=articlePDF%26utm_content=jamanetworkopen.2024.44593). Detailed information for each pregnancy was provided in KPSC electronic health records, including sociodemographic characteristics, medical and obstetric histories, birth records, residential histories, and health-related behaviors. Data on race and ethnicity were based on a combination of administrative and patient self-reports,^{42,43} and we reported the data given varying rates of sPTB across different racial and ethnic groups.⁴ Race and ethnicity categories included Asian, Black, Hispanic, non-Hispanic White, and other (including American Indian or Alaska Native, Pacific Islander, and multiple races or ethnicities). Race and ethnicity were obtained in the same question. This study followed the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology [\(STROBE\)](http://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/strobe/) reporting guideline and was approved by the Institutional Review Boards of KPSC and the University of California, Irvine, with an exemption for informed consent because the research was considered minimal risk for participants.

Outcome Ascertainment

Preterm birth was defined as a live birth that occurs after 20 completed weeks and before 37 completed weeks of gestation. Gestational age was primarily estimated by first-trimester ultrasonography, and for a small minority, by the last menstrual period with confirmation from second-trimester ultrasonography (eMethods in [Supplement 1\)](https://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593&utm_campaign=articlePDF%26utm_medium=articlePDFlink%26utm_source=articlePDF%26utm_content=jamanetworkopen.2024.44593).³¹ We used a natural language processing algorithm to extract information on preterm labor visits from electronic health records.⁴⁴ The algorithm found key terms related to preterm labor triage and evaluation, such as terms referring to fetal fibronectin tests and transvaginal ultrasonography evaluation of cervical length.^{4,45-47} Its performance has been validated with a 97% positive predictive value.⁴⁴ To ascertain sPTB, we first identified all preterm labor visits. Then, we defined sPTB as a preterm delivery that follows the spontaneous onset of labor, is not indicated by concomitant pregnancy complications, and occurs within 7 days of the last preterm labor visit.⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰ All remaining PTBs with medical indications, such as preeclampsia or eclampsia, were grouped as iPTBs.

Air Pollution Exposure Assessment

We obtained daily total PM_{2.5} concentrations during 2007 to 2018 at the census tract level from a validated ensemble model (eFigures 2 and 3 in [Supplement 1\)](https://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593&utm_campaign=articlePDF%26utm_medium=articlePDFlink%26utm_source=articlePDF%26utm_content=jamanetworkopen.2024.44593),⁵¹ which incorporated multiple

 \bigcap JAMA Network Open. 2024;7(11):e2444593. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593 (Reprinted) November 13, 2024 3/16

machine-learning algorithms with various explanatory variables. Then, we obtained monthly concentrations of 5 PM_{2.5} constituents (sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, organic matter, and black carbon) during 2007 to 2017^{29,52,53} from the publicly available outputs of a geoscience-derived model at a spatial resolution of 1 km \times 1 km.^{54,55} We calculated mean exposures to total PM_{2.5} and $PM_{2.5}$ constituents during the entire pregnancy and in each trimester for each individual based on their residential history and geocoded addresses during pregnancy. Pregnancies with inadequate residential data (<75% of completeness during pregnancy) were excluded (20 802 of 429 839 pregnancies [4.84%]).³¹ The performance of these 2 models and the exclusion criteria are detailed in the eMethods in [Supplement 1.](https://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593&utm_campaign=articlePDF%26utm_medium=articlePDFlink%26utm_source=articlePDF%26utm_content=jamanetworkopen.2024.44593)

To examine the effect modification by wildfire-related exposure, we estimated the mean exposure to wildfire-specific PM_{2.5} during the entire pregnancy based on the ensemble model described previously.^{51,56} Briefly, nonwildfire PM_{2.5} concentrations that would have been observed if there had been no wildfires were estimated by implementing a multiple imputation approach. Wildfire-specific PM_{2.5} concentrations were estimated as the difference between total and nonwildfire $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations.

Green Space Exposure Assessment

We obtained street view green space exposure from a validated machine-learning model.^{38,57} We requested high-resolution street view images from Microsoft Bing Maps Application Programming Interface and estimated the proportion of greenery pixels in each image. We distinguished 3 types of vegetation, including trees, low-lying vegetation (eg, shrubs and bushes), and grass. Exposures to total green space and each type of vegetation were estimated by finding the mean proportion of corresponding greenery pixels in all street view images within a 1 km radius surrounding the residential area at delivery. Further details can be found in the eMethods in [Supplement 1.](https://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593&utm_campaign=articlePDF%26utm_medium=articlePDFlink%26utm_source=articlePDF%26utm_content=jamanetworkopen.2024.44593)

Temperature Exposure Assessment

We obtained daily maximum temperature data for Southern California from 2007 to 2018 at a 4 km \times 4 km resolution from the gridMET dataset.^{58,59} We calculated the mean exposure to daily maximum temperature during pregnancy for each individual based on their geocoded home addresses and accounting for residential mobility. The exposure was further categorized as extreme cold (<10th percentile), mild temperature (10th to 90th percentile), and extreme heat (\geq 90th percentile).60-62

Statistical Analysis

We provide summary statistics of sociodemographics and environmental exposures of the study population. We measured correlations between environmental exposures using Pearson correlation coefficients (eResults and eTables 1 and 2 in [Supplement 1\)](https://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593&utm_campaign=articlePDF%26utm_medium=articlePDFlink%26utm_source=articlePDF%26utm_content=jamanetworkopen.2024.44593). We estimated associations of exposures to total PM_{2.5} and PM_{2.5} constituents with sPTB in the entire pregnancy and by trimester. Discretetime survival models with logit link⁶³⁻⁶⁵ were applied to accommodate varying lengths of gestations, ⁶⁶⁻⁶⁸ and births after 37 completed weeks of gestation were censored. We applied a quantile-based g computation approach that can leverage correlations among exposures^{69,70} to examine the joint association of different $PM_{2.5}$ constituents as a mixture. Constituents associated with sPTB in the main model were further included in the mixture analysis to quantify their contributions to the overall association.^{29,53} We fitted the county of residence as a random effect to account for potential spatial clustering^{29,52,53} and adjusted for important confounders a priori based on existing studies on air pollution and PTB subtypes²²⁻²⁴ and literature on risk factors associated with sPTB,^{5,9,13,14} including age, race and ethnicity, educational attainment, median household income, prepregnancy body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared), season of conception, and year of delivery. We considered more confounders (eg, temperature, insurance type, parity, smoking status, and some preexisting medical conditions [ie, diabetes and chronic hypertension]) in the sensitivity analysis to check the robustness of our results.

 \bigcap JAMA Network Open. 2024;7(11):e2444593. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593 (Reprinted) November 13, 2024 4/16

We reported odds ratios (ORs) of sPTB with corresponding 95% CIs per IQR increase in each exposure.

We examined the effect modification of several factors on the association between $PM_{2.5}$ and sPTB by fitting separate models with interaction terms and adjusting each model for all covariates specified in the main analysis and the respective effect modifier. These factors included sociodemographic characteristics, such as race and ethnicity, educational attainment, and median household income. We also considered exposures to various types of green space (ie, total green space, trees, low-lying vegetation, and grass), wildfire smoke, and temperature. To quantify the effect modification by wildfire smoke exposure, we examined its interaction with nonwildfire PM_{2.5} exposure instead of the total PM_{2.5} exposure.

To examine whether associations of total PM_{2.5} concentration with sPTB and iPTB were different, we applied iPTB as a secondary outcome. In addition, we conducted sensitivity analyses to evaluate the robustness of our findings, such as restricting the population to consider only the first birth of each individual in the cohort, adjusting for other ambient pollutants (eg, nitrogen dioxide and ozone), estimating $PM_{2.5}$ exposure based on other data sources, estimating green space exposure based on NDVI and tree canopy cover measurements, and applying propensity score matching (eMethods in [Supplement 1\)](https://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593&utm_campaign=articlePDF%26utm_medium=articlePDFlink%26utm_source=articlePDF%26utm_content=jamanetworkopen.2024.44593). We also report risk differences as an absolute measure of association.71-73 A 2-sided P value <.05 was considered statistically significant. Analyses were completed using SAS statistical software version 9.4 (SAS Institute) and R statistical software version 4.1.3 (R Project for Statistical Computing). Adjustment for multiple comparisons was not made for the secondary outcome or sensitivity analyses, and those results should be interpreted as exploratory. Data were analyzed from December 2023 to March 2024.

Results

We included 409 037 singleton live births (mean [SD] age of the study population at delivery, 30.3 [5.8] years; 50 978 among Asian [12.46%], 31 481 among Black [7.70%], 208 615 among Hispanic [51.00%], and 107 237 among White [26.22%] mothers) after excluding 20 802 births with inadequate residential data during pregnancy (**Table 1**). There were 19 341 sPTBs (4.73%) and 11 254 iPTBs (2.75%). Mothers with sPTB and iPTB were more likely to be older (aged \geq 35 years), selfidentify as Black or Asian, have a lower educational attainment, be overweight, have pregestational diabetes and hypertension, and have a history of PTB. The mean (SD) level of exposure to total PM_{2.5} during pregnancy was 11.40 (2.34) μ g/m³ and 11.54 (2.04) μ g/m³ among all births and sPTBs, respectively (**Table 2**).

Associations of Exposure to Total PM2.5 and PM2.5 Constituents With sPTB

For associations during the entire pregnancy, the adjusted OR (aOR) per IQR increase (2.76 μg/m³) in total PM2.5 exposure was 1.15 (95% CI, 1.12-1.18; P < .001) (**Table 3**). Per 1 μg/m3 increase, the aOR was 1.05 (95% CI, 1.04-1.06; P < .001). Associations were also observed per IQR increase for four PM_{2.5} constituents: sulfate (aOR, 1.06; 95% CI, 1.03-1.09; P < .001; IQR, 0.40 μg/m³), nitrate (aOR, 1.09; 95% CI, 1.06-1.13; P < .001; IQR, 0.93 μg/m³), organic matter (aOR, 1.05; 95% CI, 1.02-1.08; P < .001; IQR, 1.82 μg/m³), and black carbon, which had the highest increase in odds (aOR, 1.15; 95% CI, 1.11-1.20; P < .001; IQR, 1.05 μg/m³). Consistently higher aORs in association of total PM_{2.5} and PM_{2.5} constituents with sPTB were shown during the second trimester. For example, aORs for total PM_{2.5} concentration were 1.07 (95% CI, 1.05-1.09; P < .001) in the first, 1.10 (95% CI, 1.08-1.12; P < .001) in the second, and 1.09 (95% CI, 1.07-1.11; P < .001) in the third trimester.

A quartile increase in the mixture consisting of $PM_{2.5}$ sulfate, nitrate, organic matter, and black carbon during pregnancy was associated with an increase in the odds of sPTB (aOR, 1.09; 95% CI, 1.06-1.13; $P < .001$) (**Table 4**). PM_{2.5} black carbon, nitrate, and sulfate contributed 37.73%, 34.34%, and 27.93%, respectively, to the positive association.

 \bigcap JAMA Network Open. 2024;7(11):e2444593. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593 (Reprinted) November 13, 2024 5/16

Effect Modification by Sociodemographic Characteristics and Other Environmental Exposures

Individuals with lower educational attainment (eg, less than college: aOR, 1.16; 95% CI, 1.12-1.21 vs college $[\geq 4$ years]: aOR, 1.10; 95% CI, 1.06-1.14; $P = .03$) or median household income (<50th

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared); iPTB, medically indicated preterm birth; PTB, preterm birth; sPTB, spontaneous preterm birth.

- ^a Race and ethnicity data were based on a combination of administrative and patient self-reports. The data were reported given varying risks of sPTB across different racial and ethnic groups.
- ^b Other included American Indian or Alaska Native, Pacific Islander, and multiple races or ethnicities, consolidated owing to a relatively small sample size of each group in the study.

 \bigcap JAMA Network Open. 2024;7(11):e2444593. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593 (Reprinted) November 13, 2024 6/16

percentile: aOR, 1.17; 95% CI, 1.14-1.21 vs \geq 50th percentile: aOR, 1.12; 95% CI, 1.09-1.16; P = .02) had significantly higher aORs in the association of total PM2.5 concentration with sPTB (**Figure**). The increases in odds in the association of total $PM_{2.5}$ concentration with sPTB were significantly higher among mothers with lower exposure to total green space (<50th percentile: aOR, 1.19; 95% CI, 1.15- 1.23 vs \geq 50th percentile: aOR, 1.12; 95% CI, 1.09-1.15; P = .003) and trees (<50th percentile: aOR, 1.19; 95% CI, 1.15-1.22 vs ≥50th percentile: aOR, 1.09; 95% CI, 1.05-1.13; P < .001) but lower among those with lower exposure to low-lying vegetation. Furthermore, individuals exposed to more

Table 2. Environmental Exposures During Entire Pregnancy

Table 3. Association Per IQR Increase of $PM_{2.5}$ Exposure With sPTB

Abbreviations: iPTB, medically indicated preterm birth; $PM_{2.5}$, particulate matter less than or equal to 2.5 μm; sPTB, spontaneous preterm birth.

- ^a The IQRs of exposures to total PM_{2.5}, sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, organic matter, black carbon, nonwildfire $PM_{2.5}$, and wildfire-specific $PM_{2.5}$ were 2.76 μg/m³, 0.40 μg/m³, 0.93 μg/m³, 0.40 μg/m³, 1.82 μg/m³, 1.05 μg/m³, 2.75 μg/m³, and 0.15 μg/m³, respectively.
- b The medians of exposures to total PM_{2.5}, wildfirespecific $PM_{2.5}$, total green space, trees, low-lying vegetation, and grass were 11.51 μg/m³, 0.065 μg/m³, 24.56%, 14.68%, 4.44%, and 5.20%, respectively.
- ^c The mean exposure to daily maximum temperature during pregnancy was assessed. The 10th and 90th percentiles were 22.29 °C and 28.24 °C, respectively.

Abbreviations: $PM_{2.5}$, particulate matter less than or equal to 2.5 μm; sPTB, spontaneous preterm birth.

a Models were adjusted for age, race and ethnicity, educational attainment, median household income, prepregnancy body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared), season of conception, year of delivery, and county of residence.

b The sum of coefficients for associations in positive and negative directions.

 \bigcap JAMA Network Open. 2024;7(11):e2444593. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593 (Reprinted) November 13, 2024 7/16

wildfire smoke (≥50th percentile: aOR, 1.19; 95% Cl, 1.16-1.23 vs <50th percentile: aOR, 1.13; 95% Cl, 1.09-1.16; P = .009) and extreme heat (aOR, 1.51; 95% CI, 1.42-1.59 vs mild temperature: aOR, 1.11; 95% CI, 1.09-1.14; P < .001) during pregnancy had significantly higher increases in odds of sPTB in the association with $PM_{2.5}$ exposure.

Sensitivity Analyses

Results from sensitivity analyses did not change our conclusions (eResults, eFigures 4-6, and eTables 3-7 in [Supplement 1\)](https://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593&utm_campaign=articlePDF%26utm_medium=articlePDFlink%26utm_source=articlePDF%26utm_content=jamanetworkopen.2024.44593). We observed negative associations between PM_{2.5} exposure and iPTB during the entire pregnancy and across 3 trimesters (eResults in [Supplement 1\)](https://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593&utm_campaign=articlePDF%26utm_medium=articlePDFlink%26utm_source=articlePDF%26utm_content=jamanetworkopen.2024.44593). The aOR of iPTB per IQR increase in total PM_{2.5} exposure during pregnancy was 0.81 (95% CI, 0.79-0.83; $P < .001$).

Discussion

Based on a large and diverse birth cohort, this cohort study found that exposure to total PM_{2.5} during pregnancy was associated with increased odds of sPTB, with the second trimester identified as the most susceptible window. A relatively higher increase in odds in the association between $PM_{2.5}$ black carbon and sPTB was observed among the 5 $PM_{2.5}$ constituents of interest, followed by nitrate and sulfate, while ammonium and organic matter showed minimal increases in odds.

Figure. Fine Particulate Matter Exposure During Pregnancy and Odds of Spontaneous Preterm Birth (sPTB)

Models were adjusted for age, race and ethnicity, educational attainment, median household income, prepregnancy body mass index (calculated as weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared), season of conception, year of delivery, county of residence, and respective effect modifier. The P value is for the interaction term between fine particulate matter exposure and each effect modifier. aOR indicates adjusted odds ratio.

 $\hat{\Box}$ JAMA Network Open. 2024;7(11):e2444593. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593 (Reprinted) November 13, 2024 8/16

Although prior studies on PM_{2.5} and sPTB were limited, a 2023 study²¹ based on a large birth cohort from 2001 to 2019 in New South Wales, Australia, reported an association between PM_{2.5} exposure and sPTB during pregnancy (hazard ratio per 1 μ g/m³ increase in PM_{2.5}, 1.013; 95% CI, 1.003-1.024). However, the effect size was relatively smaller compared with our results (aOR per 1 μg/m³ increase, 1.05; 95% CI, 1.04-1.06; P < .001). A study from Shanghai, China,²⁵ that examined trimester-specific associations between $PM_{2.5}$ and sPTB reported a positive association in the third trimester. As previously discussed, the underlying mechanisms of the association between PM_{2.5} exposure and sPTB may include an increase in systematic and placental oxidative stress, inflammation, placental DNA methylation, and endocrine disruption, which can lead to placental impairment and affect the structure of chorioamniotic membranes.^{15,16,74-79} However, 2 studies from the US reported either null or negative associations of PM_{2.5} with sPTB.^{22,23} Discrepancies between their studies and ours may be due to different study designs, populations, and exposure assessment approaches.

Although iPTB is clinician initiated and occurs without a natural onset of labor, indications for iPTB, such as preeclampsia and eclampsia, have been associated with $PM_{2.5}$ exposure in some studies.^{75,80} Therefore, iPTB may be indirectly associated with air pollution, with some indications potentially lying in the pathway. However, we observed negative associations between PM_{2.5} exposure and iPTB during pregnancy. Similarly, 2 studies conducted in the US 22,23 observed nonsignificant decreases in the risk of iPTB associated with $PM_{2.5}$ exposure, where 1 of these studies reported negative associations of nitrogen dioxide with iPTB.²² Given that iPTB is typically triggered by medical interventions, the rate and timing of such interventions can vary due to differing medical practices among clinicians. If these variations in health care practices correlate with fluctuations in air pollution levels, they could confound the association between environmental pollutants and i PTB.²² Previous literature has suggested that the pattern of i PTB may be influenced by complex socioeconomic factors interacting with the medical care system.²⁷ Health care facilities located in areas with high socioeconomic status and low air pollution may tend to prescribe iPTB more often to patients at risk.⁸¹ Therefore, the observed negative association may be a consequence of residual confounding due to artificial or external factors not adjusted for in our analysis.4,22 Different results for sPTB and iPTB underscore a need for differentiating PTB subtypes in future studies.

The presence or absence of labor is critical to distinguish between sPTB and iPTB. Some previous studies on environmental exposures and PTB failed to isolate sPTB^{82,83} or identified sPTB by excluding all cesarean deliveries or by relying on noninduced labor owing to the unavailability of labor information.^{84,85} These approaches are likely to misclassify PTB subtypes and bias findings given that cesarean delivery can occur for medically indicated or elective reasons or occur after a trial of labor and the terms induction of labor and augmentation of insufficient labor are sometimes used interchangeably. In addition, some studies^{22,86,87} have used International Classification of Diseases, Ninth Revision (ICD-9) codes as proxies to distinguish among PTB subtypes given that indicators for the presence of labor were not readily available. These studies considered cesarean deliveries (without codes indicating labor or spontaneous delivery), artificial rupture of membranes, and induction of labor as iPTB; all other cases were classified as sPTB, which may still lead to misclassification given that whether labor was present or absent was inferred from these ICD code proxies. Our study identified individuals triaged for preterm labor evaluation and implemented an extensive natural language processing algorithm to accurately capture indicators associated with impending sPTB based on a rich clinical database that can provide information on preterm labor symptoms, fetal fibronectin tests, and cervical length measurements. Therefore, we provided a more robust ascertainment of pregnancies resulting in sPTB based on the presence or absence of labor with corresponding clinical symptoms and restricting the interval between the onset of labor and the time of delivery.

We observed that individuals without a 4-year college degree or with lower household incomes were at increased risk of sPTB associated with PM_{2.5} exposure, highlighting the significant issues of health inequity among pregnant individuals. Individuals with lower socioeconomic status may have

 \bigcap JAMA Network Open. 2024;7(11):e2444593. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593 (Reprinted) November 13, 2024 9/16

more stressful social experiences and live in hazardous physical environments.⁸⁸⁻⁹⁰ In addition, thev may have poorer health status, less access to medical resources, or more adverse health-related behaviors before and during pregnancy.^{30,91,92} Targeted and preventive public health interventions among these subpopulations with high risk may be critical for minimizing the burden of sPTB.

To offer insights for prospective mitigation actions, we examined the effect modification by street view green space exposure. Compared with satellite-based data, street-level green space may better reflect actual exposure and illustrate exposure pathways.^{93,94} Our study found that more total green space and trees, rather than low-lying vegetation or grass, modified the association between PM_{2.5} and sPTB to have a smaller increase in odds. To increase the comparability of our study with others, we conducted sensitivity analyses for NDVI and tree canopy exposure, and the results also supported these findings. Some studies have shown more benefits associated with urban trees than other vegetation types.³⁷⁻³⁹ Although trees can increase the deposition of particles, they may also inhibit particle dispersion near emission sources and deteriorate air quality in the area.⁹⁵ More in-depth studies considering local conditions and different plant species may help maximize the benefits of green space.^{33,96} Moreover, our findings suggest that people exposed to more wildfire smoke or extreme heat during pregnancy may experience a double jeopardy. Some studies also reported a higher increase in risk of respiratory diseases associated with ambient PM_{2.5} among fireaffected areas or in fire seasons.⁹⁷⁻¹⁰⁰ The mental stress associated with wildfire events and the health shock induced by sporadic extreme pollution events during pregnancy may both contribute to increased risk.^{101,102}

To our knowledge, this is the first study that examined associations of $PM_{2.5}$ and its constituent concentrations with sPTB by applying a natural language processing algorithm to define PTB subtypes reliably. In addition, we examined the effect modification by specific types of green space to inform a more efficient mitigation strategy. Furthermore, our study benefited from a large sample size with a socioeconomically diverse population, a comprehensive clinical database, and a more accurate exposure assessment based on detailed information on prenatal residential mobility.

Limitations

This study has some limitations. First, exposure misclassification was inevitable given that we estimated individual exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ based on census tract-level data and did not consider personal time-activity patterns (eg, time indoors or in the workplace) owing to data unavailability, which can bias associations in either direction. In sensitivity analyses, we examined associations of $PM_{2.5}$ using data from other sources^{55,103} and found similar results, indicating the robustness of our conclusions. Second, we considered only 5 major $PM_{2.5}$ constituents owing to data unavailability. Associations of other elements attached to PM_{2.5} (eg, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons) may deserve future investigations. Additionally, we obtained only monthly data on $PM_{2.5}$ constituents, which may not be accurate enough and may lead to exposure misclassification. Third, street view green space data were considered spatial snapshot data that cannot capture temporal variations, which may lead to exposure misclassification and bias associations in either direction. Fourth, we did not assess different clinical phenotypes of sPTB.20,104 Future studies with data to distinguish various phenotypes may promote a deeper understanding of the biological mechanisms.

Conclusions

This cohort study found that more exposure to ambient $PM_{2.5}$ during pregnancy was associated with increased odds of sPTB. Individuals with lower socioeconomic status and those exposed to more wildfire smoke or extreme heat during pregnancy were found to be at greater risk. More green space exposure, especially trees, may modify the association of $PM_{2.5}$ concentration with sPTB, with smaller increases in odds.

 \bigcap JAMA Network Open. 2024;7(11):e2444593. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593 (Reprinted) November 13, 2024 10/16

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Accepted for Publication: September 19, 2024.

Published: November 13, 2024. doi[:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593](https://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593&utm_campaign=articlePDF%26utm_medium=articlePDFlink%26utm_source=articlePDF%26utm_content=jamanetworkopen.2024.44593)

Open Access: This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [CC-BY License.](https://jamanetwork.com/pages/cc-by-license-permissions/?utm_campaign=articlePDF%26utm_medium=articlePDFlink%26utm_source=articlePDF%26utm_content=jamanetworkopen.2024.44593) © 2024 Jiao A et al. JAMA Network Open.

Corresponding Author: Jun Wu, PhD, Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, Program in Public Health, University of California, Irvine, 856 Health Sciences Rd (Quad), Ste 3200, Irvine, CA 92697-1830 [\(junwu@](mailto:junwu@hs.uci.edu) [hs.uci.edu\)](mailto:junwu@hs.uci.edu).

Author Affiliations: Department of Environmental and Occupational Health, Program in Public Health, University of California, Irvine (Jiao, Sun, Li, Wu); Kaiser Permanente Bernard J. Tyson School of Medicine, Pasadena, California (Reilly); Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego (Benmarhnia); Irset Institut de Recherche en Santé, Environnement et Travail, UMR-S 1085, Inserm, University of Rennes, École des Hautes Études en Santé Publique, Rennes, France (Benmarhnia); Institute of Medical Information, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, China (Sun); Department of Research and Evaluation, Kaiser Permanente Southern California, Pasadena (Avila, Chiu, Slezak, Sacks, Getahun); Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles (Sacks); College of Public Health and Human Sciences, Oregon State University, Corvallis (Molitor); Department of Population and Public Health Sciences, University of Southern California, Los Angeles (Chen); Department of Health Systems Science, Kaiser Permanente Bernard J. Tyson School of Medicine, Pasadena, California (Getahun).

Author Contributions: Drs Wu and Getahun had full access to all of the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Drs Wu and Getahun contributed equally to this study as senior authors.

Concept and design: Jiao, Reilly, Wu, Getahun.

Acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data: Jiao, Reilly, Benmarhnia, Sun, Avila, Chiu, Slezak, Sacks, Molitor, Li, Chen, Wu, Getahun.

Drafting of the manuscript: Jiao, Reilly.

Critical review of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Jiao, Reilly, Benmarhnia, Sun, Avila, Chiu, Slezak, Sacks, Molitor, Li, Chen, Wu, Getahun.

Statistical analysis: Jiao, Benmarhnia, Sun, Chiu.

Obtained funding: Benmarhnia, Molitor, Chen, Wu, Getahun.

Administrative, technical, or material support: Benmarhnia, Sun, Avila, Wu, Getahun.

Supervision: Wu, Getahun.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: Dr Slezak reported receiving research funding from Pfizer, Inc and Dynavax Technologies, Inc paid to Kaiser Permanente Southern California outside the submitted work. Dr Getahun reported receiving grants from Hologic, Inc outside the submitted work. No other disclosures were reported.

Funding/Support: This study was supported by grants R01ES030353 from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences and 21RD003 from the California Air Resources Board.

Role of the Funder/Sponsor: The funders had no role in the design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript; and decision to submit the manuscript for publication.

Data Sharing Statement: See [Supplement 2.](https://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593&utm_campaign=articlePDF%26utm_medium=articlePDFlink%26utm_source=articlePDF%26utm_content=jamanetworkopen.2024.44593)

REFERENCES

1. Walani SR. Global burden of preterm birth. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2020;150(1):31-33. doi[:10.1002/ijgo.13195](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ijgo.13195)

2. World Health Organization. Preterm birth. Updated May 10, 2023. Accessed October 8, 2024. [https://www.who.](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/preterm-birth) [int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/preterm-birth](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/preterm-birth)

3. World Health Organization. Child mortality (under 5 years). Accessed March 3, 2024. [https://www.who.int/](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/levels-and-trends-in-child-under-5-mortality-in-2020) [news-room/fact-sheets/detail/levels-and-trends-in-child-under-5-mortality-in-2020](https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/levels-and-trends-in-child-under-5-mortality-in-2020)

4. Goldenberg RL, Culhane JF, Iams JD, Romero R. Epidemiology and causes of preterm birth. Lancet. 2008;371 (9606):75-84. doi[:10.1016/S0140-6736\(08\)60074-4](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(08)60074-4)

5. Purisch SE, Gyamfi-Bannerman C. Epidemiology of preterm birth. Semin Perinatol. 2017;41(7):387-391. doi[:10.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.semperi.2017.07.009) [1053/j.semperi.2017.07.009](https://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.semperi.2017.07.009)

6. Ananth CV, Vintzileos AM. Medically indicated preterm birth: recognizing the importance of the problem. Clin Perinatol. 2008;35(1):53-67. doi[:10.1016/j.clp.2007.11.001](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clp.2007.11.001)

 \bigcap JAMA Network Open. 2024;7(11):e2444593. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593 (Reprinted) November 13, 2024 11/16

7. Vogel JP, Chawanpaiboon S, Moller AB, Watananirun K, Bonet M, Lumbiganon P. The global epidemiology of preterm birth. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol. 2018;52:3-12. doi[:10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2018.04.003](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2018.04.003)

8. Esplin MS. Overview of spontaneous preterm birth: a complex and multifactorial phenotype. Clin Obstet Gynecol. 2014;57(3):518-530. doi[:10.1097/GRF.0000000000000037](https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/GRF.0000000000000037)

9. Menon R. Spontaneous preterm birth, a clinical dilemma: etiologic, pathophysiologic and genetic heterogeneities and racial disparity. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2008;87(6):590-600. doi[:10.1080/](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00016340802005126) [00016340802005126](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00016340802005126)

10. Muglia LJ, Katz M. The enigma of spontaneous preterm birth. N Engl J Med. 2010;362(6):529-535. doi[:10.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra0904308) [1056/NEJMra0904308](https://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra0904308)

11. Chawanpaiboon S, Vogel JP, Moller AB, et al. Global, regional, and national estimates of levels of preterm birth in 2014: a systematic review and modelling analysis. Lancet Glob Health. 2019;7(1):e37-e46. doi[:10.1016/S2214-](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30451-0) [109X\(18\)30451-0](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(18)30451-0)

12. Murphy DJ. Epidemiology and environmental factors in preterm labour. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol. 2007;21(5):773-789. doi[:10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2007.03.001](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2007.03.001)

13. Cobo T, Kacerovsky M, Jacobsson B. Risk factors for spontaneous preterm delivery. Int J Gynaecol Obstet. 2020;150(1):17-23. doi[:10.1002/ijgo.13184](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ijgo.13184)

14. O'Hara S, Zelesco M, Sun Z. Cervical length for predicting preterm birth and a comparison of ultrasonic measurement techniques. Australas J Ultrasound Med. 2013;16(3):124-134. doi[:10.1002/j.2205-0140.2013.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/j.2205-0140.2013.tb00100.x) [tb00100.x](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/j.2205-0140.2013.tb00100.x)

15. Nachman RM, Mao G, Zhang X, et al. Intrauterine inflammation and maternal exposure to ambient PM₂. during preconception and specific periods of pregnancy: the Boston Birth Cohort. Environ Health Perspect. 2016; 124(10):1608-1615. doi[:10.1289/EHP243](https://dx.doi.org/10.1289/EHP243)

16. Li Z, Tang Y, Song X, Lazar L, Li Z, Zhao J. Impact of ambient PM_{2.5} on adverse birth outcome and potential molecular mechanism. Ecotoxicol Environ Saf. 2019;169:248-254. doi[:10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.10.109](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoenv.2018.10.109)

17. Niu Y, Chen R, Xia Y, et al. Fine particulate matter constituents and stress hormones in the hypothalamuspituitary-adrenal axis. Environ Int. 2018;119:186-192. doi[:10.1016/j.envint.2018.06.027](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.06.027)

18. Li H, Cai J, Chen R, et al. Particulate matter exposure and stress hormone levels: a randomized, double-blind, crossover trial of air purification. Circulation. 2017;136(7):618-627. doi[:10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.026796](https://dx.doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.116.026796)

19. Lei X, Chen R, Wang C, et al. Personal fine particulate matter constituents, increased systemic inflammation, and the role of DNA hypomethylation. Environ Sci Technol. 2019;53(16):9837-9844. doi[:10.1021/acs.est.9b02305](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b02305)

20. Gat R, Kachko E, Kloog I, et al. Differences in environmental factors contributing to preterm labor and PPROM—population based study. Environ Res. 2021;196:110894. doi[:10.1016/j.envres.2021.110894](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.110894)

21. Singh T, Jalaludin B, Hajat S, et al. Acute air pollution and temperature exposure as independent and joint triggers of spontaneous preterm birth in New South Wales, Australia: a time-to-event analysis. Front Public Health. 2023;11:1220797. doi[:10.3389/fpubh.2023.1220797](https://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1220797)

22. Johnson S, Bobb JF, Ito K, et al. Ambient fine particulate matter, nitrogen dioxide, and preterm birth in New York City. Environ Health Perspect. 2016;124(8):1283-1290. doi[:10.1289/ehp.1510266](https://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1510266)

23. Williams AD, Kanner J, Grantz KL, et al. Air pollution exposure and risk of adverse obstetric and neonatal outcomes among women with type 1 diabetes. Environ Res. 2021;197:111152. doi[:10.1016/j.envres.2021.111152](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2021.111152)

24. Lee PC, Roberts JM, Catov JM, Talbott EO, Ritz B. First trimester exposure to ambient air pollution, pregnancy complications and adverse birth outcomes in Allegheny County, PA. Matern Child Health J. 2013;17(3):545-555. doi[:10.1007/s10995-012-1028-5](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10995-012-1028-5)

25. Su YF, Li C, Xu JJ, et al. Associations between short-term and long-term exposure to particulate matter and preterm birth. Chemosphere. 2023;313:137431. doi[:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.137431](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2022.137431)

26. Ye T, Guo Y, Huang W, Zhang Y, Abramson MJ, Li S. Heat exposure, preterm birth, and the role of greenness in Australia.JAMA Pediatr. 2024;178(4):376-383. doi[:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2024.0001](https://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jamapediatrics.2024.0001&utm_campaign=articlePDF%26utm_medium=articlePDFlink%26utm_source=articlePDF%26utm_content=jamanetworkopen.2024.44593)

27. Savitz DA, Dole N, Herring AH, et al. Should spontaneous and medically indicated preterm births be separated for studying aetiology? Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2005;19(2):97-105. doi[:10.1111/j.1365-3016.2005.00637.x](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3016.2005.00637.x)

28. Qiao P, Fan K, Bao Y, et al. Prenatal exposure to fine particulate matter and the risk of spontaneous preterm birth: a population-based cohort study of twins. Front Public Health. 2022;10:1002824. doi[:10.3389/fpubh.2022.](https://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.1002824) [1002824](https://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2022.1002824)

29. Jiao A, Sun Y, Avila C, et al. Maternal exposure to ambient air pollution mixture and premature rupture of membranes: evidence from a large cohort in Southern California (2008-2018). Environ Int. 2023;177:108030. doi: [10.1016/j.envint.2023.108030](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2023.108030)

 $\stackrel{\frown}{\Pi}$ JAMA Network Open. 2024;7(11):e2444593. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593 (Reprinted) November 13, 2024 12/16

30. Culhane JF, Goldenberg RL. Racial disparities in preterm birth. Semin Perinatol. 2011;35(4):234-239. doi[:10.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.semperi.2011.02.020) [1053/j.semperi.2011.02.020](https://dx.doi.org/10.1053/j.semperi.2011.02.020)

31. Jiao A, Sun Y, Avila C, et al. Analysis of heat exposure during pregnancy and severe maternal morbidity.JAMA Netw Open. 2023;6(9):e2332780. doi[:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.32780](https://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.32780&utm_campaign=articlePDF%26utm_medium=articlePDFlink%26utm_source=articlePDF%26utm_content=jamanetworkopen.2024.44593)

32. Son JY, Choi HM, Fong KC, Heo S, Lim CC, Bell ML. The roles of residential greenness in the association between air pollution and health: a systematic review. Environ Res Lett. 2021;16(9):093001. doi[:10.1088/1748-](https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac0e61) [9326/ac0e61](https://dx.doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac0e61)

33. Kloog I. Air pollution, ambient temperature, green space and preterm birth. Curr Opin Pediatr. 2019;31(2): 237-243. doi[:10.1097/MOP.0000000000000736](https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MOP.0000000000000736)

34. Asta F, Michelozzi P, Cesaroni G, et al. The modifying role of socioeconomic position and greenness on the short-term effect of heat and air pollution on preterm births in Rome, 2001-2013. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2019;16(14):2497. doi[:10.3390/ijerph16142497](https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16142497)

35. Sun Y, Sheridan P, Laurent O, et al. Associations between green space and preterm birth: windows of susceptibility and interaction with air pollution. Environ Int. 2020;142:105804. doi[:10.1016/j.envint.2020.105804](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.105804)

36. Lai KY, Kumari S, Gallacher J, Webster C, Sarkar C. Nexus between residential air pollution and physiological stress is moderated by greenness. Nature Cities. 2024;1:225-237. doi[:10.1038/s44284-024-00036-6](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s44284-024-00036-6)

37. Astell-Burt T, Feng X. Association of urban green space with mental health and general health among adults in Australia.JAMA Netw Open. 2019;2(7):e198209. doi[:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.8209](https://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2019.8209&utm_campaign=articlePDF%26utm_medium=articlePDFlink%26utm_source=articlePDF%26utm_content=jamanetworkopen.2024.44593)

38. Sun Y, Molitor J, Benmarhnia T, et al. Association between urban green space and postpartum depression, and the role of physical activity: a retrospective cohort study in Southern California. Lancet Reg Health Am. 2023;21: 100462. doi[:10.1016/j.lana.2023.100462](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lana.2023.100462)

39. Reid CE, Clougherty JE, Shmool JLC, Kubzansky LD. Is all urban green space the same: a comparison of the health benefits of trees and grass in New York City. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2017;14(11):1411. doi[:10.3390/](https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14111411) [ijerph14111411](https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14111411)

40. Fan W, Zlatnik MG. Climate change and pregnancy: risks, mitigation, adaptation, and resilience. Obstet Gynecol Surv. 2023;78(4):223-236. doi[:10.1097/OGX.0000000000001116](https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/OGX.0000000000001116)

41. Ha S. The changing climate and pregnancy health. Curr Environ Health Rep. 2022;9(2):263-275. doi[:10.1007/](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40572-022-00345-9) [s40572-022-00345-9](https://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40572-022-00345-9)

42. Derose SF, Contreras R, Coleman KJ, Koebnick C, Jacobsen SJ. Race and ethnicity data quality and imputation using U.S. Census data in an integrated health system: the Kaiser Permanente Southern California experience. Med Care Res Rev. 2013;70(3):330-345. doi[:10.1177/1077558712466293](https://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1077558712466293)

43. Chen W, Yao J, Liang Z, et al. Temporal trends in mortality rates among Kaiser Permanente Southern California health plan enrollees, 2001-2016. Perm J. 2019;23:18-213. doi[:10.7812/TPP/18-213](https://dx.doi.org/10.7812/TPP/18-213)

44. Xie F, Khadka N, Fassett MJ, et al. Identification of preterm labor evaluation visits and extraction of cervical length measures from electronic health records within a large integrated health care system: algorithm development and validation.JMIR Med Inform. 2022;10(9):e37896. doi[:10.2196/37896](https://dx.doi.org/10.2196/37896)

45. Ville Y, Rozenberg P. Predictors of preterm birth. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol. 2018;52:23-32. doi[:10.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2018.05.002) [1016/j.bpobgyn.2018.05.002](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2018.05.002)

46. Berghella V, Saccone G. Fetal fibronectin testing for reducing the risk of preterm birth. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2019;7(7):CD006843. doi[:10.1002/14651858.CD006843.pub3](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD006843.pub3)

47. Romero JA, Downes K, Pappas H, Elovitz MA, Levine LD. Cervical length change as a predictor of preterm birth in symptomatic patients. Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM. 2021;3(1):100175. doi[:10.1016/j.ajogmf.2020.100175](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajogmf.2020.100175)

48. Wing DA, Haeri S, Silber AC, et al. Placental alpha microglobulin-1 compared with fetal fibronectin to predict preterm delivery in symptomatic women. Obstet Gynecol. 2017;130(6):1183-1191. doi[:10.1097/AOG.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0000000000002367) [0000000000002367](https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0000000000002367)

49. Blackwell SC, Sullivan EM, Petrilla AA, Shen X, Troeger KA, Byrne JD. Utilization of fetal fibronectin testing and pregnancy outcomes among women with symptoms of preterm labor. Clinicoecon Outcomes Res. 2017;9: 585-594. doi[:10.2147/CEOR.S141061](https://dx.doi.org/10.2147/CEOR.S141061)

50. Peaceman AM, Andrews WW, Thorp JM, et al. Fetal fibronectin as a predictor of preterm birth in patients with symptoms: a multicenter trial. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 1997;177(1):13-18. doi[:10.1016/S0002-9378\(97\)70431-9](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9378(97)70431-9)

51. Aguilera R, Luo N, Basu R, et al. A novel ensemble-based statistical approach to estimate daily wildfire-specific PM2.5 in California (2006-2020). Environ Int. 2023;171:107719. doi[:10.1016/j.envint.2022.107719](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2022.107719)

 \bigcap JAMA Network Open. 2024;7(11):e2444593. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593 (Reprinted) November 13, 2024 13/16

52. Sun Y, Headon KS, Jiao A, et al. Association of antepartum and postpartum air pollution exposure with postpartum depression in Southern California.JAMA Netw Open. 2023;6(10):e2338315. doi[:10.1001/](https://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.38315&utm_campaign=articlePDF%26utm_medium=articlePDFlink%26utm_source=articlePDF%26utm_content=jamanetworkopen.2024.44593) [jamanetworkopen.2023.38315](https://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2023.38315&utm_campaign=articlePDF%26utm_medium=articlePDFlink%26utm_source=articlePDF%26utm_content=jamanetworkopen.2024.44593)

53. Sun Y, Li X, Benmarhnia T, et al. Exposure to air pollutant mixture and gestational diabetes mellitus in Southern California: results from electronic health record data of a large pregnancy cohort. Environ Int. 2022;158:106888. doi[:10.1016/j.envint.2021.106888](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2021.106888)

54. Meng J, Li C, Martin RV, van Donkelaar A, Hystad P, Brauer M. Estimated long-term (1981-2016) concentrations of ambient fine particulate matter across North America from chemical transport modeling, satellite remote sensing, and ground-based measurements. Environ Sci Technol. 2019;53(9):5071-5079. doi[:10.1021/acs.est.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b06875) [8b06875](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b06875)

55. van Donkelaar A, Martin RV, Li C, Burnett RT. Regional estimates of chemical composition of fine particulate matter using a combined geoscience-statistical method with information from satellites, models, and monitors. Environ Sci Technol. 2019;53(5):2595-2611. doi[:10.1021/acs.est.8b06392](https://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b06392)

56. Aguilera R, Corringham T, Gershunov A, Benmarhnia T. Wildfire smoke impacts respiratory health more than fine particles from other sources: observational evidence from Southern California. Nat Commun. 2021;12(1):1493. doi[:10.1038/s41467-021-21708-0](https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-21708-0)

57. Sun Y, Wang X, Zhu J, et al. Using machine learning to examine street green space types at a high spatial resolution: application in Los Angeles County on socioeconomic disparities in exposure. Sci Total Environ. 2021; 787:147653. doi[:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147653](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.147653)

58. Abatzoglou JT. Development of gridded surface meteorological data for ecological applications and modelling. Int J Climatol. 2013;33(1):121-131. doi[:10.1002/joc.3413](https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/joc.3413)

59. Climatology Lab. Gridmet. Accessed October 9, 2024. <https://www.climatologylab.org/gridmet.html>

60. Ren M, Wang Q, Zhao W, et al. Effects of extreme temperature on the risk of preterm birth in China: a population-based multi-center cohort study. Lancet Reg Health West Pac. 2022;24:100496. doi[:10.1016/j.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lanwpc.2022.100496) [lanwpc.2022.100496](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.lanwpc.2022.100496)

61. Ha S, Liu D, Zhu Y, Kim SS, Sherman S, Mendola P. Ambient temperature and early delivery of singleton pregnancies. Environ Health Perspect. 2017;125(3):453-459. doi[:10.1289/EHP97](https://dx.doi.org/10.1289/EHP97)

62. Guo T, Wang Y, Zhang H, et al. The association between ambient temperature and the risk of preterm birth in China. Sci Total Environ. 2018;613-614:439-446. doi[:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.104](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.09.104)

63. Allison PD. Survival Analysis Using SAS: A Practical Guide. 2nd ed. SAS Institute; 2010.

64. Chang HH, Reich BJ, Miranda ML. Time-to-event analysis of fine particle air pollution and preterm birth: results from North Carolina, 2001-2005. Am J Epidemiol. 2012;175(2):91-98. doi[:10.1093/aje/kwr403](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwr403)

65. Suresh K, Severn C, Ghosh D. Survival prediction models: an introduction to discrete-time modeling. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2022;22(1):207. doi[:10.1186/s12874-022-01679-6](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12874-022-01679-6)

66. Xiao Q, Chen H, Strickland MJ, et al. Associations between birth outcomes and maternal PM_{2.5} exposure in Shanghai: a comparison of three exposure assessment approaches. Environ Int. 2018;117:226-236. doi[:10.1016/j.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.04.050) [envint.2018.04.050](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2018.04.050)

67. Pereira G, Bracken MB, Bell ML. Particulate air pollution, fetal growth and gestational length: the influence of residential mobility in pregnancy. Environ Res. 2016;147:269-274. doi[:10.1016/j.envres.2016.02.001](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2016.02.001)

68. Hao H, Chang HH, Holmes HA, et al. Air pollution and preterm birth in the U.S. State of Georgia (2002-2006): associations with concentrations of 11 ambient air pollutants estimated by combining Community Multiscale Air Quality Model (CMAQ) simulations with stationary monitor measurements. Environ Health Perspect. 2016;124(6): 875-880. doi[:10.1289/ehp.1409651](https://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1409651)

69. Keil AP, Buckley JP, O'Brien KM, Ferguson KK, Zhao S, White AJ. A quantile-based g-computation approach to addressing the effects of exposure mixtures. Environ Health Perspect. 2020;128(4):47004. doi[:10.1289/EHP5838](https://dx.doi.org/10.1289/EHP5838)

70. van den Dries MA, Keil AP, Tiemeier H, et al. Prenatal exposure to nonpersistent chemical mixtures and fetal growth: a population-based study. Environ Health Perspect. 2021;129(11):117008. doi[:10.1289/EHP9178](https://dx.doi.org/10.1289/EHP9178)

71. Spiegelman D, Hertzmark E. Easy SAS calculations for risk or prevalence ratios and differences. Am J Epidemiol. 2005;162(3):199-200. doi[:10.1093/aje/kwi188](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwi188)

72. Grunau B, Kime N, Leroux B, et al. Association of intra-arrest transport vs continued on-scene resuscitation with survival to hospital discharge among patients with out-of-hospital cardiac arrest.JAMA. 2020;324(11): 1058-1067. doi[:10.1001/jama.2020.14185](https://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jama.2020.14185&utm_campaign=articlePDF%26utm_medium=articlePDFlink%26utm_source=articlePDF%26utm_content=jamanetworkopen.2024.44593)

73. Norton EC, Dowd BE, Maciejewski ML. Odds ratios-current best practice and use.JAMA. 2018;320(1):84-85. doi[:10.1001/jama.2018.6971](https://jama.jamanetwork.com/article.aspx?doi=10.1001/jama.2018.6971&utm_campaign=articlePDF%26utm_medium=articlePDFlink%26utm_source=articlePDF%26utm_content=jamanetworkopen.2024.44593)

 \bigcap JAMA Network Open. 2024;7(11):e2444593. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593 (Reprinted) November 13, 2024 14/16

74. Li X, Huang S, Jiao A, et al. Association between ambient fine particulate matter and preterm birth or term low birth weight: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. Environ Pollut. 2017;227:596-605. doi[:10.1016/j.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.03.055) [envpol.2017.03.055](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2017.03.055)

75. Song S, Gao Z, Zhang X, et al. Ambient fine particulate matter and pregnancy outcomes: an umbrella review. Environ Res. 2023;235:116652. doi[:10.1016/j.envres.2023.116652](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2023.116652)

76. Yu Z, Zhang X, Zhang J, et al. Gestational exposure to ambient particulate matter and preterm birth: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. Environ Res. 2022;212(Pt C):113381. doi[:10.1016/j.envres.2022.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.113381) [113381](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.113381)

77. Saenen ND, Martens DS, Neven KY, et al. Air pollution-induced placental alterations: an interplay of oxidative stress, epigenetics, and the aging phenotype? Clin Epigenetics. 2019;11(1):124. doi[:10.1186/s13148-019-0688-z](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13148-019-0688-z)

78. Zhang Y, Ye T, Yu P, et al. Preterm birth and term low birth weight associated with wildfire-specific PM₂₅: a cohort study in New South Wales, Australia during 2016-2019. Environ Int. 2023;174:107879. doi[:10.1016/j.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2023.107879) [envint.2023.107879](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2023.107879)

79. Nyadanu SD, Dunne J, Tessema GA, et al. Prenatal exposure to ambient air pollution and adverse birth outcomes: an umbrella review of 36 systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Environ Pollut. 2022;306:119465. doi: [10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119465](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2022.119465)

80. Sun Y, Bhuyan R, Jiao A, et al. Association between particulate air pollution and hypertensive disorders in pregnancy: a retrospective cohort study. PLoS Med. 2024;21(4):e1004395. doi[:10.1371/journal.pmed.1004395](https://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1004395)

81. Montemor MS, Demarque GF, Rodrigues AS, Francisco RPV, de Carvalho MHB. Association between preterm births and socioeconomic development: analysis of national data. BMC Public Health. 2022;22(1):2014. doi[:10.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-14376-2) [1186/s12889-022-14376-2](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-14376-2)

82. Ilango SD, Weaver M, Sheridan P, et al. Extreme heat episodes and risk of preterm birth in California, 2005- 2013. Environ Int. 2020;137:105541. doi[:10.1016/j.envint.2020.105541](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.105541)

83. Sun S, Weinberger KR, Spangler KR, Eliot MN, Braun JM, Wellenius GA. Ambient temperature and preterm birth: a retrospective study of 32 million US singleton births. Environ Int. 2019;126:7-13. doi[:10.1016/j.envint.2019.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.02.023) [02.023](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.02.023)

84. Cushing L, Morello-Frosch R, Hubbard A. Extreme heat and its association with social disparities in the risk of spontaneous preterm birth. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2022;36(1):13-22. doi[:10.1111/ppe.12834](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/ppe.12834)

85. Liu X, Berberian AG, Wang S, Cushing LJ. Hurricane Harvey and the risk of spontaneous preterm and earlyterm birth. Environ Epidemiol. 2024;8(3):e312. doi[:10.1097/EE9.0000000000000312](https://dx.doi.org/10.1097/EE9.0000000000000312)

86. Avalos LA, Chen H, Li DK, Basu R. The impact of high apparent temperature on spontaneous preterm delivery: a case-crossover study. Environ Health. 2017;16(1):5. doi[:10.1186/s12940-017-0209-5](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12940-017-0209-5)

87. Basu R, Chen H, Li DK, Avalos LA. The impact of maternal factors on the association between temperature and preterm delivery. Environ Res. 2017;154:109-114. doi[:10.1016/j.envres.2016.12.017](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2016.12.017)

88. Kramer MS, Goulet L, Lydon J, et al. Socio-economic disparities in preterm birth: causal pathways and mechanisms. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol. 2001;15(suppl 2):104-123. doi[:10.1046/j.1365-3016.2001.00012.x](https://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3016.2001.00012.x)

89. Bublitz MH, Carpenter M, Bourjeily G. Preterm birth disparities between states in the United States: an opportunity for public health interventions.J Psychosom Obstet Gynaecol. 2020;41(1):38-46. doi[:10.1080/](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0167482X.2018.1553156) [0167482X.2018.1553156](https://dx.doi.org/10.1080/0167482X.2018.1553156)

90. Lu MC, Chen B. Racial and ethnic disparities in preterm birth: the role of stressful life events. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2004;191(3):691-699. doi[:10.1016/j.ajog.2004.04.018](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2004.04.018)

91. Braveman PA, Heck K, Egerter S, et al. The role of socioeconomic factors in Black-White disparities in preterm birth. Am J Public Health. 2015;105(4):694-702. doi[:10.2105/AJPH.2014.302008](https://dx.doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2014.302008)

92. Dunlop AL, Kramer MR, Hogue CJ, Menon R, Ramakrishan U. Racial disparities in preterm birth: an overview of the potential role of nutrient deficiencies. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2011;90(12):1332-1341. doi[:10.1111/j.1600-](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0412.2011.01274.x) [0412.2011.01274.x](https://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0412.2011.01274.x)

93. Markevych I, Schoierer J, Hartig T, et al. Exploring pathways linking greenspace to health: theoretical and methodological guidance. Environ Res. 2017;158:301-317. doi[:10.1016/j.envres.2017.06.028](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2017.06.028)

94. de Vries S, van Dillen SM, Groenewegen PP, Spreeuwenberg P. Streetscape greenery and health: stress, social cohesion and physical activity as mediators. Soc Sci Med. 2013;94:26-33. doi[:10.1016/j.socscimed.2013.06.030](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2013.06.030)

95. Janhäll S. Review on urban vegetation and particle air pollution – Deposition and dispersion. Atmos Environ X. 2015;105:130-137. doi[:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.01.052](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.01.052)

96. Mannucci PM. Air pollution, cardiovascular disease, and urban greening: an ecological blueprint. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2023;30(15):1608-1611. doi[:10.1093/eurjpc/zwad119](https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/eurjpc/zwad119)

 \bigcap JAMA Network Open. 2024;7(11):e2444593. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593 (Reprinted) November 13, 2024 15/16

97. Delfino RJ, Brummel S, Wu J, et al. The relationship of respiratory and cardiovascular hospital admissions to the Southern California wildfires of 2003. Occup Environ Med. 2009;66(3):189-197. doi[:10.1136/oem.2008.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/oem.2008.041376) [041376](https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/oem.2008.041376)

98. Elliott CT, Henderson SB, Wan V. Time series analysis of fine particulate matter and asthma reliever dispensations in populations affected by forest fires. Environ Health. 2013;12:11. doi[:10.1186/1476-069X-12-11](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-12-11)

99. Reid CE, Considine EM, Watson GL, Telesca D, Pfister GG, Jerrett M. Associations between respiratory health and ozone and fine particulate matter during a wildfire event. Environ Int. 2019;129:291-298. doi[:10.1016/j.envint.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.04.033) [2019.04.033](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2019.04.033)

100. Reid CE, Jerrett M, Tager IB, Petersen ML, Mann JK, Balmes JR. Differential respiratory health effects from the 2008 Northern California wildfires: a spatiotemporal approach. Environ Res. 2016;150:227-235. doi[:10.1016/j.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2016.06.012) [envres.2016.06.012](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2016.06.012)

101. Eisenman DP, Galway LP. The mental health and well-being effects of wildfire smoke: a scoping review. BMC Public Health. 2022;22(1):2274. doi[:10.1186/s12889-022-14662-z](https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12889-022-14662-z)

102. Jiao A, Headon K, Han T, Umer W, Wu J. Associations between short-term exposure to wildfire particulate matter and respiratory outcomes: a systematic review. Sci Total Environ. 2024;907:168134. doi[:10.1016/j.scitotenv.](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.168134) [2023.168134](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.168134)

103. Wu J, Laurent O, Li L, Hu J, Kleeman M. Adverse reproductive health outcomes and exposure to gaseous and particulate-matter air pollution in pregnant women. Res Rep Health Eff Inst[. 2016;2016\(188\):1-58.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29659239)

104. Villar J, Papageorghiou AT, Knight HE, et al. The preterm birth syndrome: a prototype phenotypic classification. Am J Obstet Gynecol. 2012;206(2):119-123. doi[:10.1016/j.ajog.2011.10.866](https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2011.10.866)

SUPPLEMENT 1.

eMethods.

eResults.

eFigure 1. The composition of the Kaiser Permanente Southern California pregnancy cohort from 2008 to 2018 and the population selection process

eFigure 2. The temporal trend of daily mean concentrations of total, nonwildfire, and wildfire-specific PM₂₅ across California from 2007 to 2018 based on the census tract–level data

eFigure 3. The mean exposure to total PM_{2.5}, nonwildfire PM_{2.5}, and wildfire-specific PM_{2.5} during pregnancy for the study population

eFigure 4. The adjusted odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CIs of spontaneous preterm birth (sPTB) associated with exposure to total PM2.5 during pregnancy among subgroups stratified by street view green space exposure in 200 m and 500 m buffers

eFigure 5. The adjusted odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CIs of spontaneous preterm birth (sPTB) associated with exposure to total PM_{2.5} during pregnancy among subgroups stratified by the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) and tree canopy exposure in 200 m, 500 m, and 1000 m buffers

eFigure 6. The adjusted odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CIs of spontaneous preterm birth (sPTB) associated with exposure to total PM_{2.5} during pregnancy among subgroups stratified by street view green space exposure in the 1000 m buffer (tertile groups)

eTable 1. Pearson correlation coefficients between exposure to air pollutants throughout pregnancy for the study population

eTable 2. Pearson correlation among different environmental exposures during pregnancy

eTable 3. The adjusted odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CIs of sPTB associated with total PM_{2.5} and nonwildfire PM_{2.5} during pregnancy examined in the sensitivity analysis

eTable 4. The adjusted odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CIs of sPTB associated with total PM_{2.5} and PM_{2.5} constituents during each trimester examined in all-trimester models

eTable 5. The adjusted odds ratios (ORs) with 95% CIs of sPTB associated with PM_{2.5} constituents during pregnancy examined in copollutant models

eTable 6. The adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and risk differences (RDs) with 95% CIs of sPTB associated with per-IQR increase in exposures to total $PM_{2.5}$ and 5 $PM_{2.5}$ constituents during pregnancy

eTable 7. The results of associations between PM_{2.5} exposure during pregnancy and sPTB based on the propensity score matching

eReferences.

SUPPLEMENT 2.

Data Sharing Statement

 \bigcap JAMA Network Open. 2024;7(11):e2444593. doi:10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2024.44593 (Reprinted) November 13, 2024 16/16